CA : Room 1901 – President's Conference Room : 1:00 PM

| There was a question about which cycle year was represented |  |  |
|---|--|--|
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

| The members agreed to ask IR to come up with a methodology to look at Asian sub-groups and Latino groups and conduct a study on the success rates for these sub-populations as wellq 0.06 0 0 0. |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

3)

Members discussed the list of possible data elements to inform faculty prioritization. One of the elements included labor market information. The idea is that the rationale for a new faculty position might include labor market data that shows an emerging job need.

Many of the data elements are included in the out of cycle request form. The goal of the data would be to inform and support the VPIs recommendation to PaRC. There would be no formula but enough data to show key trends for each department.

The group agreed to consider a revised list at the next meeting.

4)

Members agreed that it was important to take the opportunity to inform the campus about new initiatives.

The consensus was to recommend to PaRC that new initiatives involving significant campus resources be communicated to the campus.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:17 pm.