

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation

Ron Painter
(Same Division Faculty)

Paul Starer
(Faculty AtLarge)

Nadene Torres
(Staff At

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation

Ron Painter
(Same Division Faculty)

Paul Starer
(Faculty At

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
			end of this sentence felt negative to me. I think item 2 could stand alone without this sentence. I loved items 3 and 4	
Program Learning Outcomes				
Overall, this section:	Addresses all 5 criteria - Meets the Standard		Exceeds expectations for all 5 criteria - Excellent	Addresses all 5 criteria - Meets the Standard
Reader Feedback	I like the intention behind each of the five objectives, but am a bit surprised at the order of these objectives. The astronomy content in item 5 should be ranked higher, or even first, instead of being the last objective of the list IMO. I appreciate the inclusion of collaborative learning as one of the PLOs here though - an underrated objective in my view!		I liked that you referred to the ILOs. Do students have access to those alongside the PLOs so they better understand the intended outcome?	N/A
B. FTES Enrollment Trends				
1. What does the FTES data trend indicate?	FTES has decreased over the time span by greater than 30% - Needs Major		FTES has decreased over the time span by greater than 30% - Needs Major	FTES has decreased over the time span by 11% to 30% - Needs Some

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
	Improvement to Meet the Standard		Improvement to Meet the Standard	Improvement to Meet the Standard

FTES Narrative Explanation

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation

Ron Painter
(Same Division Faculty)

Paul Starer
(Faculty AtLarge)

Nadene Torres
(Staff AtLarge)

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
Section Narrative Explanation (If Applicable)				
Overall, in this section:	The narrative is not included		The narrative is not included	The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard
Reader Feedback	No additional comments other than what I have written above.		N/A	N/A
D. Productivity- Enrollment Trends				
1. What does the data indicate about the productivity trend?	The program productivity has decreased by more than 5% - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard		The program productivity has decreased by more than 5% - Needs Major Improvement to Meet the Standard	The program productivity is flat - Meets the Standard
Productivity Narrative Explanation (If Applicable)				
Overall, in this section:	The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar – Excellent		The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent	The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard
Productivity Action Narrative (If Applicable)				
Overall, in this section:	The narrative is not included		The narrative is not included	The narrative includes all 5 of the criteria - Meets the Standard
Reader Feedback				

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
	give context of program productivity compared against that of other comparable programs within and outside of the division. The explanations for productivity declines year after year are very reasonable and adequately justified IMO.		needs to be another trend describing term - The program productivity has decreased by more than 5% - But the Program Meets a Revised Standard	college target. So, no improvement is necessary here.

Astronomy

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation

Ron Painter
(Same Division Faculty)

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
	<p>participate in the course activities. Neither narrative addresses other factors that could affect student success such as access to course materials or assessment strategies used to measure student success though. I would have liked to see discussion about this.</p>			
b. Student Course Success by Student Groups				
<p>3. Is there a course success gap between African-American, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander White, Decline to State student groups?</p>	<p>The gap between the two groups has decreased over the time span - Meets the Standard</p>		<p>The gap between the two groups has not changed over the time span - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard</p>	<p>The gap between the two groups has decreased over the time span - Meets the Standard</p>
Course Success by Student Groups Narrative Explanation				
<p>Overall, in this section:</p>	<p>The narrative includes 2 of the criteria - Needs Some Improvement to Meet the Standard</p>		<p>The narrative exceeds expectations – the narrative could be used as an exemplar - Excellent</p>	<p>The narrative includes all 3 of the criteria - Meets the Standard</p>
Course Success by Student Groups Action Narrative (If Applicable)				

Astronomy Program Review

Astronomy Program Review

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation	Ron Painter (Same Division Faculty)	Paul Starer (Faculty AtLarge)	Nadene Torres (Staff AtLarge)	Ram Subramaniam (Administrator)
Pacific Islander	Course success has been flat or decreased over the time span by no more than 2 percentage point - Meets the Standard			

Astronomy Program Review

Rubric Evaluation

Ron Painter
(Same Division Faculty)

Paul Starer
(Faculty AtLarge)

Nadene Torres
(Staff AtLarge)

