SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOM ESTIC VIOLENCE: THE DOUBLE BIND OF PROVING "GOOD MORA L CHARACTER"

NANCY E. SHURTZ*

INTRODUCTION

Maria is a Mexican national and resides in the United States on a Trade NAFTA ("TN") work visa. She falls in love with Bob, a U.S. citizen, and after a brief courtship, they marry. They immediately file a joint petitiwith the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Servicted CIS") for issuance to Maria of a Conditional Residence ("R") Card, the trastitional status that acts as prelude to Per.008 p3.34p18(e)0.8[(t)5. 3.4(e67Tp18(e)0.8[(t)5d0 .051 Tw 41(Maa84(())]TJ 0 Tw 9.716 01)-2 joint bank accounts. However, Bob controls the household free manifested

^{*} Benard A. Kliks Chaired Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Oregon School of Law; B.A., 1970, University of Cincinnati; J.D., 1972, Ohio State University; LL(M. Taxation), 1976, Georgetown University Law Center.

^{1.} A TN visa is a temporary professional work vistnat is issued to those from Mexico or Canada. This is just one of the many legal ways that immigrants can come into the United States. SeeImmigration and Nationality Act (NA") § 203, 8 U.S.C. § 1153 (2012). Workers can also come into the country illegally. Seeynthia Blum Rethinking Tax Compliance of Unauthorized Workers After Immigration Reform 1

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

2017 SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

costly, executed in hostile domestic environments, and must often surmount state laws that apply restrictive constraints on noncitizensdivorce action must also satisfy federal standards that the marriage was not initially entered into with fraudulentintent.9

239

Additional general citizenship requirements include demonstration of moral character. In practice, one of the most conspicuous manifestations of this is compliance with tax laws. In Mariacase, her filing of a joint return may be viewedfavorably by immigration authorities as proof of a gotoith marriage. On the other hand, Borb'underreporting of his income may well constitute a fraudulent tax retur^{A0} which (if discovered) could expose Maria to liability for the subsequent delinquetax and damage her position as an upstanding citizenship candidate. The conditions (both personal and legal) that contribute to these double bind" situations are examined more fully in the subsequent discussions.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF CITIZENSHIP FOR FOREIGN SPOUSES

Initially the U.S. immigration laws did not recognize workseautonomy. Only husbands could file a petition for their fore lower wives or accompany

9.

^{8.} David S. Mitchell, Comment, An Unhappy Union: Married Taxpayers Filing Separately and the Affordable Care Ast Premium Tax Credi69Tax Law. 453, 463 (2016) [M]any state divorce statutes include waiting periods, appeals processes, and litigation deadlines legal maze that is impossible to navigate, especially for kincome individuals who cannot afford an attorney or face other wedlocumented impediments to legal access, like low literacy, disability, or limited English proficiency. There is also the fear that ne spouse will be left financially worse off as a result of divorce).

them to the immigration office? Conversely, wives could lose their U.S. citizenship if theymarried foreigners? It was not until 1952, with the passage of the Immigration and Nationality Act I(NA") that the immigration laws were made gendeneutral. Then in 1986, Congress passed the Immigration Marriage Fraud Amendments I(MFA"), the purpose of which was to prevent fraudulent marriages of noncitizens to U.S. citizenshe IMFA created a presumption that alsuch marriages were fraudulent until proven to be valid and in good faith. Under the current INA rules, foreign spouses applying for citizenship status in the United States must: (1) be a legal permanent resident (Green Card holder) for at least three ye(2) be eighteen or more years old, (3) meet both a continuous residence and physical presence require (4) ent "[b]e able to read, wite, and speak English and have knowledge and an understanding of U.S. history and government (also known as civars), (5) be of good moral characteduring the required residence period and up to the time of admission.

To be alegal permanent resides, the foreign spouse must not be here illegally. ¹⁹ Maria is here on a work visa, and she is a documented worker. Thus, she is here legally. Since Maria has been married for less than two years she is issued the aforementioned CR card or order to advancte permanent (Green

^{12.} Immigration Act of 1924, Pub. L. No. 139, Ch. 190, § 2(a), 43 Stat. 153, 153.

^{13.} In [textW40s56)\$7(Replaction 254023)7-1501pp148530J02544 (E.10) TB(-11540)Pp144 (1540)H2023)Tj14(22(-1514.7(1-00.46.045)C2)

2017) SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Card) status, the law requires a joint petition to be filed ninety days before the expiration of two years from which Maria first gained her legal statuse immigrant party can request awaiver of the requirement to file a joippetition after the tweyear period, but [will] face[] a high burden of proof to show the marriage was genuine?

241

At the two-year mark of the relationship, the marriage is reviewed by immigration to determine if the couple is still together and has a-fability marriage. To obtain unconditional legal permanent residenstatus and remove the conditions, Maria must also file Forn (Petition to Remove Conditions on Residence). Essentially, the alien spouse must prove three things: (1) that the marriagues a legal marriage in the state where the wedding took place—and that it was not dissolved by divorce or annulment,

evidence? The principal question is whether Maria and Bob intended at the time of the marriage to establish a life together. The marriage needs only to be viable at the inception and not entered intended for immigration purposes? The issues to be resolved are then fides of the marriagen of its probability of lasting for any length of time. To meet this standard mary evidence used, such as proof of joint ownership of property, birth certificates of children in common, a lease showing joint tenancy, and/or affidavits from third parties attesting to the bona fides of the marriageloint tax returns are also an important indicator of togetherness.

In addition to the requirements necessary to earn legal permanent residency, full naturalization requires both a continuous residence and physical presence requirement An applicant must be a continuous resident for five years afte obtaining legal permanent resident status and continuously reside in the United States from the date of filing the application. The residence test can be flunked in several ways, such as in the case that noncitizen spouses neglect to file the application to remove the conditional tag from their residency status. Foreign

2017] SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

presence, the foreign spouse becomes subject to aythrebar to ræntry.³⁵ If the period of unlawful presence exceeds one year, the offending party is subject

2017] SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 245

Under tax procedural rules, the taxpayers can go back three years item re and amend their return to accurate dimens on the filing of an amended income tax return may no 984 T 0.432 0 Td 9(v)11.4(e)0.8(r)3.48(e)129

well as physical batter. According to the National Coalition Against Domestic Violence, nearly one in four women in the United States will suffer domestic violence in her lifetime. Due to a variety of contributing factors, immigrant spouses are more risalk of experiencing domestic abuse than their counterparts in the general population Between on third to one half of immigrant women in the United States are estimated to have experienced domestic violence firstharfd. These numbers are even higher amongst women who are married to other immigrant partner one study of Latina and Filipina immigrants found that nearly half of the subjects reported an increase in domestic violence after arrivinin the United States, and better than oriefive of the battered respondents remained in an abusive relationship for fear of being reported to immigration officials if they complain a study conducted by the National Institute of Justice showed theatrly twethirds of the women surveyed said that their abusers threatened them with deportation following their arrival to the United States. These risks are known even by policymakers in the halls of government. Witness this statement from two U.S. senators: "Women who are separated from their spouses have the highest rate of domestic abuse victimization: four times higher than that of divorced women and eight

2017 SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 247

Beginning in 1990, U.S. immigration policy was seed to acknowledge the

victims of domestic violence. The Immigration Act of 1990, for example, created a battered spouse waiver, which allowed the spouse who had already obtained a conditional resident status to gain legally permanent status without relying on her spouse joint petition. However, such a spouse could not become a conditional resident without her spousetial sponsorship. The Violence Against Women Act VAWA" of 1994 addressed this problem by allowing a battered spouse teelf-petition and waive the joint petition filling requirement. Others could file as well, such as husbands and aboused 170j, -0 20.1()h - 0eW3mr81.j a3l3(ami8(l)5[(gw 2n.2r T5.)6a)-enn(6)5. 2 [(r)2.4(eq)-1(u126 [(a-5.63)])

[Vol. 62:237

affidavits by persons with personal knowledge of the relationship of the couple could be used. Thee'edible relevant evidences'tandard is a more lenient evidentiary standard than that required in farbiased petitions because the abuser may control (or even destroy) documents, and the abused may be forced to flee without them.

The complex issues around credibility and domestic violence are illustrated by LopezUmanzor v. Gonzala Here, the Ninth Circuit reviewed the depor.5(e)-1t

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL

[Vol. 62:237

or local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and have occurred in the United States or its territories and possessions (3) the applicant must have be to local criminal law and law

each spouse the responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the return and for the payment of the tax. Thus, the IRS can pursue either or both of the taxpayers for any deficiency (including any penalties or interest due). If the foreign spouse is not able to establish grounds of innocence and is not in compliance with tax laws, then she may fail the good moral character requirement of the immigration laws.

It is estimated that 50,000 individuals a year face income tax liability attached to their marital stat \dot{u} s. A majority of those in5(f)-1.6(u(s)-2.4(R 24 >>8(a)12T(a)d>BDC

[Vol. 62:237

cases. Revenue Procedure 2603sets forth three safe harbor factors the claimant can prove all three, she will obtain equitable relief: (1) the claimant is legally separated or divorced at the time of relief; (2) the claimant had no knowledge or reason to know that the requesting spouse did not pay the liability; and (3) the claimant would suffer economic hardship if required to pay the tax!²⁵ Maria is separated form Bob, but she might have reason to know that he is not paying his taxes. She may be afraid to confront him as he is controlling

2017) SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

had no reason to know, this factor will weigh against relief. On the other hand, if the spouse cannot prove abuse, she still can get relief, but her odds of success are substantially diminished nover twothirds (66.7%) of the cases in which abuse was about the judge found that there was no about these, barely a quarter (27.5%) of petition spouses were granted fellenversely, in 90% of the cases in which the alleged abuse was confirmed, the claimant ultimately granted equitable relief. Clarke concludes that the courts have not developed consistent interpretation of the various facts and circumstances.

255

Of note are the inconsistencies in the financial control case, Bishop v. Commissioner of Internal Reventine claimant spuse had a high school education, but the court held there was evidence of financial case.

[Vol. 62:237

specificity." ¹⁴² Even in Acoba v. Commissioner of Internal Reve**thæ** court was unmoved by issuance of restrictions placed on an abusive spouse, stating that"there is no evidence to indicate whether the restraining order was the result of historical abuse or was a prophylactic measure taken by the court as an outcome of the divorcë."

For Maria, physical violence is helpful for her innocent spouse claim but not ultimately determinative. Financial control is a stronger argument, but it is

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY S

Administrations stated devotion to an immigration policy model that emphasizes dention and deportation of mass numbers of undocumented residents. A related and residual concern is that the rate of reporting domestic violence events will be reduced as a result of the overarching fear of deportation by law enforcement personnel. A rectar Angeles Timesticle reports that reporting rates of sexual assault and domestic violence made by the city Latino residents have plummeted this year amid concerns that immigrants in the country illegally could risk deportation by interacting with lice or testifying in court." The article indicates that the reporting of domestic violence by Latino residents has dropped ten perdent.

Within the scope of thersepresented in this race, the central concern with the new political order in Washirogt is that law enforcement officials, guided by the renewed federal emphasis on enforcement of immigration statutes, will work to prosecute foreign-

2017] SEEKING CITIZENSHIP IN THE SHADOW OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

initiative theme!⁵⁷ Interestingly, at the State Departmentinnual International Women of Courage award ceremonies, two of the recipients of the award went to women who would be banned from entering the United States under the Presidents aforementioned Executive Order, by virtue of hailing from nations featured in his immigration restriction. This visible, but officially unacknowledged dichotomy of values exhibited within the Trump family, is illustrative of the disjointed nature of policy approaches to immigration matters generally, and the low priority given the plight of women in vulnerableations around the globe, specifically. Perhaps the First Lady, herself an immigrant citizen of our country, can inspire a reappraisal of our official policies toward a

[Vol. 62:237